



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

REPLY TO DR. DYAR'S NOTE.

BY A. RADCLIFFE GROTE.

In reply to Dr. Dyar's note, this Journal, V, 66, I would state that, having no preparations of the larvæ, I may have failed to follow entirely Dr. Dyar's remarks and any misstatement of them has arisen in this way. I would suggest that Dr. Dyar figure them in outline as I have done with the neururation. I consider the "stinging spines" as adaptive, secondary characters, unfit for classificatory purposes of this nature and not decisive of phylogeny. With regard to the anal tubercle, Dr. Dyar regards it as primary—of this I cannot judge, but naturally accept this dicta. And this is the only main point. I regard the pattern of neururation as "primary" and that it unites, in one phylogenetic group, *Hemiteuca* and *Saturnia* and *Automeris* and *Aglia*. As to other characters, the structure of the female antennæ appears to fall in very well with my classification as also the specializations of the pupal envelop. This whole case seems to converge into: anal tubercles *vs.* pattern of neururation. It is a test case and should be settled before we go any further. It appears to me a physiological impossibility that *Aglia* should be derived from the Saturnian branch or that *Hemiteuca* should be derived from the Aglian stem. From his point of view Dr. Dyar thinks the reverse and hence a settlement of the controversy, which should not be suffered to run into side issues, is very desirable.

surmise as to the identity of *Z. canadensis*, H. S. with *Z. asylas* of Cramer met with their approval. I have just received the following reply contained in a letter written January 1st, 1898.

"As desired, we have looked into the identity of *Zeuzera asylas* Cram. and *Z. canadensis* H. Sch., and have come to the conclusion that you are quite correct in the belief that both figures represent the same African species. We have nothing approaching it from any temperate country and all the species of this type appear to be inhabitants of the tropical parts of the old world."

I accept it then as established that *Zeuzera canadensis* H. Sch. is a synonym for *Z. asylas* Cram., and the species should be stricken from our lists of North American species. Herrich-Schaefer's locality "*Quebeck*" was evidently an error.